

OLTD 510 Peer Review Form

Read the paper(s) assigned to you twice, once to get an overview of the paper and a second time to provide constructive criticism for the author to use when revising his/her paper. Use “Track changes” if in Word document format and insert comments rather than modify text. If not in Word format, use Adobe Reader to add in sticky notes and highlight text and resave the document. Use the following guiding questions and form below.

Name of paper/author reviewed: Jane C – Blended Learning: What is it? Trends, benefits, and cautions

Name of reviewer: Kris

Organization	Comments
Structure (introduction, conclusion, sections, references, appendices, page numbers)?	I liked how your paper was structured – intro explained what the report was about and in between each section was a good transitional paragraph. There was good flow from one section to another
Figures and tables (if used) clearly labeled and professional looking?	n/a
Clearly stated purpose and objective(s)?	Yes – intro listed objectives and it was effectively summed up in the end
Accomplished its purpose?	Yes – detailed trends, benefits and concerns. Promoted blended learning as a viable option in today’s classroom.
Ideas ordered effectively?	Absolutely – very well structured paper with good flow from one idea to the next
Transitions used?	Yes – effectively
Introduction & conclusion focus clearly on the main point?	Strong intro – identified purpose. Conclusion could touch on some of the negative aspects or concerns a bit more.
Paragraphs right length for reading (not too long or too short)?	Yes – clear and concise. Easy to read

Content/Flow	Comments
Ideas received enough attention and explanation? Well developed?	I think so. Well thought out. Might consider providing more detail in 2 nd paragraph of barriers section. Perhaps speak to student isolation online?
Supporting material persuasive?	Support your theories and back up your claims effectively.
Adequate references and resource material? Proper citation?	Yep – fixed a few in the draft
Unnecessary repetition avoided?	A little repetitive – I tried to address it in my suggested changes by using alternate words, taking bits out
Creative and original thought?	Blends resources nicely to make your point. Identifies an area that online learning is heading.
Summary and conclusion?	Effective – again, add in something about barriers? Or keep it and maintain the positive push for blended learning
Style	Comments
Topic and level of formality appropriate for audience?	It's easy to read and understand (not too much jargon). Could perhaps be a bit more formal.
Sentences and words varied? Wordy?	Somewhat – wordy at parts and needing to be a bit more succinct. (see revisions)
Structure	Comments
Grammar?	Some small changes as noted in attached doc where I tracked changes. These are only suggestions – take em or leave em!
Spelling?	
Punctuation?	
All citations sourced in references?	Yes – a few changes for APA
General Comments This paper provided me with information and food for thought. I'm a proponent of blended learning and this helped solidify my beliefs and understandings – therefore I found it useful! Good job!	